Approved For Release 2005/01/05 : CIA-RDP79M00098A000300020008-9

| • | |
|------|--|
| | 6 January 1976 |
| STAT | NOTE FOR |
| | As part of Mr. Bush's indoctrination, and the indoctrination of whoever succeeds General Wilson as D/DCI/IC,I think it would be worthwhile to draft a paper which represents a justification of the USIB committee structure. SOME TITLE SUCH AS "The Logic of the USIB Committee Structure" would be appropriate. At first glance the 12 committees and more than 50 subcommittees looks like a very complex and manpower expensive operation. |
| | Among the points in favor of the sommittee structure are: |
| | a. Each committee deals with a topic or subject area of importance to the functioning of the DCI. If there were not a committee in existence, a large number of task groups or ad hoc arrangements would be needed. |
| | b. Very few personnel are involved full-time with committee activities. Most of the participants, and particularly most chairmen, are line officers with responsibilities directly related to the subject matter of the committee. |
| | c. The committees provide the various elements of the Community forums in which their views can be presented in the development of Community positions. |
| | d. The committees and their working groups provide educational forums at which the various elements of the Community can keep abreast of what is going on to other organizations as regards the subject matter of the committee. |
| STAT | Attached are some materials that provided at my request. STAT As note indicates, he may have some more in a day or two. |
| | I think it would be worthwhile to point out in the paper how much the USIB committee strture has evolved and changed in the past two years. Several committees have been abolished: NIS,TSCC, IPC and Watch. Others have been overhauled and/or reorganized: SIGINT, Security, HRC, STIC and WSSC. |
| | Basically what I have in mind is a paper which explains why there is need for a USIB committee structure and presents the basis for the present structure. Itak also should raise questions which need further exploration, e.g. should the CCPC be retained. |
| | I would like you to draft a paper such as I have outlined above |
| | STAT |
| | STAT |

Approved For Release 2005/01/05 : CIA-RDP79M00098A000300020008-9